

THE NEW YORK TIMES

February 2, 2010

Suit Points to Guest Worker Program Flaws

By [JULIA PRESTON](#)

[Immigration](#) authorities worked closely with a marine [oil-rig](#) company in Mississippi to discourage protests by temporary guest workers from [India](#) over their job conditions, including advising managers to send some workers back to India, according to new testimony in a federal lawsuit against the company, [Signal International](#).

The cooperation between the company and federal immigration agents is recounted in sworn depositions by Signal managers who were involved when tensions in its shipyard in Pascagoula, Miss., erupted into a public clash in March 2007.

Since then, hundreds of the Indian workers have brought a civil rights lawsuit against the company, claiming they were victims of human trafficking and labor abuse. Signal International is fighting the suit and has sued American and Indian recruiters who contracted with the workers in India. The company claims the recruiters misled it — and the workers — about the terms of the work visas that brought them to this country.

The Departments of Justice and Homeland Security have opened separate investigations. The federal [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission](#) determined in September that there was “reasonable cause” to believe the Indian guest workers at Signal had faced discrimination and a work environment “laced with ridicule and harassment.”

The Signal case has come to represent some of the flaws and pitfalls, for immigrants and for employers, in the [H-2B temporary guest worker program](#). As Congressional lawmakers weigh moving forward this year on an overhaul of the immigration system, they are debating whether to include an expansion of guest worker programs.

A lawyer for Signal, Erin C. Hangartner, said the company could not comment on the suit.

As it rushed to repair offshore oil rigs after [Hurricane Katrina](#), Signal International hired about 500 skilled metalworkers from India in 2006. Numerous workers have said that they paid as much as \$20,000 to Signal’s recruiters, many going into debt or selling their homes. They said recruiters had promised that their visas would soon be converted to green cards, allowing them to remain as permanent residents.

Once the workers realized they would not receive green cards, many complained of fraud and banded together to seek help from American lawyers.

In a deposition in the lawsuit, filed in Federal District Court in New Orleans, Signal's chief operating officer, Ronald Schnoor, said he grew frustrated with Indian workers who were "chronic whiners." In early 2007 he decided to fire several who were encouraging protests.

Those workers "were making impossible demands" for the company to secure green cards for them or to repay the high fees, Mr. Schnoor said. They were "taking workers away from their work and actually trying to get them to join some effort they were organizing," he said.

Mr. Schnoor and Darrell Snyder, a manager in the shipyard, where the Indians were living in a labor camp, said they had consulted with agents from [Immigration and Customs Enforcement](#) for "guidance" on how to fire the workers, following the rules of the H-2B program.

Mr. Schnoor said the "direction" he received from an immigration enforcement agent was this: "Don't give them any advance notice. Take them all out of the line on the way to work; get their personal belongings; get them in a van, and get their tickets, and get them to the airport, and send them back to India."

Signal managers said they tried to carry out those instructions on March 9, 2007, putting several Indian workers into vans to take them to the airport. They were prevented from leaving the shipyard by immigrant advocates gathered at the gates.

In an internal e-mail message 10 days later, Mr. Snyder reported that another immigration official had assured him in a meeting that day that the agency would pursue any Indian workers who left their jobs, "if for no other reason than to send a message to the remaining workers that it is not in their best interests to try and 'push' the system."

Carl Falstrom, an immigration lawyer in New Orleans who is not associated with the Signal case, said there were rules for employers who fired guest workers. They are required to provide return airfare to the workers' home countries, and they are supposed to notify the visa agency, Citizenship and Immigration Services, when workers are no longer employed. But, Mr. Falstrom said, private companies cannot carry out deportations.

Saket Soni, director of the [New Orleans Workers' Center for Racial Justice](#), which represents some workers in the lawsuit, said the managers' testimony showed that immigration enforcement agents had "advised the corporation on how to retaliate against workers who were organizing."

An ICE spokesman, Brian Hale, said he could not comment on a continuing investigation. But Mr. Hale said ICE agents were generally aware that a company that

fires workers in the H-2B program “is prohibited from compelling individuals to get on the plane.”